



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/628,727	0	7/28/2000	Philip R. Krause	3920 EXAMINER	
35197	7590	09/08/2004			
PHILIP R K			HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
9437 SEVEN BETHESDA				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2178	
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			- (XV-1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/628,727	KRAUSE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by standard period for reply will be a considered period for reply will be a conside	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 2.	drawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15/	2)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/628,727

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: response to the non-final office action of application filed on 07/28/00 which is a continuation of the application 09/015,660 filed on 01/29/98, now US Pat No. 6,154,757, domestic priority 1/29/97.

- 2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 21, and 22 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 1-5, 7-18, 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments.
- 4. The rejections of claims 6 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates and further in view of Carter have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments.
- 5. The rejections of claims 6 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates and further in view of Kierman have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments.
- 6. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bates have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments.

Page 2

Priority

7. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. The instant application has the claimed priority to provisional application 60/036,305, filed 1/29/97.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 20-22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell et al., *Library News -- Engineering, Mathematics, & Physical Sciences Libraries*, Cornell University May/June 1995, pages 1-6.

Notes: The Examiner also provides two screen shots of the Powell document that disclose the limitations of claims 2-3, 7-8, 11.

Regarding independent claim 1, Powell discloses:

- presenting, on a display controlled by the computer system, and outline of the text (pages 1-6: the table of content is the outline of the followed text document) wherein:

a word or phrase appearing in the text is an element of the text (page 1: the word "publish" or the phrase "how to publish information on a World Web Server" is an element of the text)

a plurality of elements of the text are included as elements of the outlines (pages 1-6: elements of the text such as Class Offering, Journal Review Underway, Thanks, GEOROM: Geophisics on CD-ROM, etc., are elements of the table of content, which is the outline of the text) each element of the outline represents a portion of the text (pages 1-2: each element of the table of content such as Class Offering, Journal Review Underway, etc. represents a portion of the text) elements of the outline comprise substantially less text than the entire text (pages 1-6: the whole table of content is less text than the entire text document)

substantially all portions of the text are represented by at least one element of the outline (pages 1-6)

the positional relationship between the elements of the text is maintained on the outline (**pages 1-6**: the fact that the order of the portions of the text followed the order disclosed in the table of content indicates that the elements of the text is maintained on the table of content, according to the table of content order)

performing, in response to a signal from a user of the computer system, an operation on the entire portion of the text represented by at least one element of

the outline (pages 1-6: it was well known in the art that when a user can click on an element of the table of content, which is correspondent to a signal from a user, to select a desired portion of the text, the system will effect on the entire text by moving the current cursor to the selected portion of the text and display said portion on the current display)

Powell does not disclose that the hierarchical relationship between the elements of the text is maintained on the outline.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have to have modified Powell to include the hierarchical relationship among the elements to Powell since it was well known that the outline or the table of content of a document can be expandable by adding elements of the sublevels to include more contents for the document.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, which are dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses providing the ability to select an element in the outline, such that the operation is performed on the entire portion of text referred to by the element and displaying the result of the operation on the text represented by an element of the outline in a location on the outline corresponding to the element (two screen shots: show that when selecting an element of the Table of Contents such as Class Offering, the system will display in a window starting with the selected portion Class Offering in the text referred to by the selected element in the Table of Contents).

Regarding claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses that the elements of text presented on the outline relate to the structure of the text (**pages 1-6**: the fact that the order of the elements of text such as Class Offering, Journal Review Underway, etc. presented on the table of content is the same as the order of the elements of the table of content indicates the relation between the structure of the text and the structure of the table of content, which is the outline).

Regarding claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, it was obvious that the table of content in Powell is computer generated (page 1).

Regarding claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses that the portion of the outline shown at any given time correspondents to the cursor location in the original text (pages 1-6: since it was well known that internal links in a hypertext document link to a selected portion of the same hypertext document, the elements of the outline, which are the internal hyperlinks, when selected will make the current cursor point to the correspondent to the linked portion in the text; two screen shots: show that when selecting an element of the Table of Contents such as Class Offering, the system will display in a window starting with the selected portion in the text referred to by the selected element in the Table of Contents).

Regarding claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses providing a link to the original text from a result displayed on the outline (pages 1-2: the table of content

contains the hypertext link Class Offering which is a link to link to the Class Offering portion in the original text document).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, which are dependent on claims 3 and 9 respectively, Powell does not disclose the reverse-indexing of the text referred to by the outline element where the words in the reverse-index are provided in alphabetical order.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Power to include the alphabetical order to the index of the text since the alphabetical order was a well known way for indexing items in managing databases and an existing index can be reversed by sorting in descending order).

Regarding claim 11, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses searching within the text referred to by the outline element (screen shots, pages 1-2: the fact that when selecting element "Class Offering" in the Table of Contents, the system can display the selected portion of text on a window suggests searching within the text to find out the target location of the "Class Offering" portion).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, which are dependent on claim 2, Powell does not disclose printing and copying the text referred to by the outline element.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Powell to include printing and copying features

the text referred to by the outline element since it was well known that a portion of text can be printing or copying by highlighting said selected portion to print or to copy as desired. So, in Powell, the Class Offering portion in the text referred to by the outline element "Class Offering" in the Table of Contents can be highlighted for printing or copying.

Regarding claim 20, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell discloses partially displaying text referred to by the outline element on the outline (pages 1-6 plus the print shots to show displaying the selected portion in the text when an element of the outline is selected).

Independent claims 21 and 22 are for a computer system and a memory storage of method claim 1, and are rejected under the same rationale.

10. Claims 6 and 19 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kierman et al. (US Pat No. 5,701,137, 12/23/97, filed 5/24/95).

Regarding claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell does not disclose providing the user the ability to expand and collapse items in the outline.

Kierman discloses providing the user the ability to expand and collapse items in the directory tree (figures 2 and 4: the (+) sign and the (-) next to the items in the tree allow a user to expand and collapse items in the tree correspondent to the outline). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Kierman into Powell since Kierman teaches the expand and collapse features for a directory tree providing the advantage to apply the collapse and expand features to the table of content of Powell, where the table of content is a form of an outline of a document and where the table of content is extendable by adding more elements of different levels as mentioned in claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 19, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell does not disclose moving the text referred to by the outline element to a different location.

Kierman discloses moving nodes in a tree control by dragging and dropping nodes to a different location (col 9, lines 45-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Kierman into Powell since Kierman discloses moving nodes in a tree control by dragging and dropping nodes to a different location thus motivating to apply the moving feature to the table of content of Powell which is also a form of outline of a document where the elements of the table of content in Powell can be extendable to be a tree as in Kierman.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,727

Art Unit: 2178

11. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Astiz et al. (US Pat No. 6,035,330, 3/7/00, filed 3/29/96).

Regarding claim 15, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell does not disclose identifying material related to that referred to by the outline element.

Astiz discloses identifying material related to that referred to by the outline element (figures 6 and 7: the site map is considered as an outline of the web site and next to each element of the outline, there is an icon showing that the outline element is an HTML document, an image, or a picture, and also the state of the element, either closed or open, which are material identified and related to the outline element).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Astiz into Powell since Astiz discloses icons or indicators related to that referred to by the outline element to show information related to the outline element, providing the advantage including such icons or indicators to the outline elements of Powell for easier recognizing the type of a selected text in a long document.

Regarding claim 16, which is dependent on claim 15, Powell does not disclose using a reference work to look up material contained in a portion of text referred to by the outline element.

Astiz discloses using a reference work to look up material contained in a portion of text referred to by the outline element (**figures 6 and 7**: the pointer representing the

recursive link is considered as a reference work to look up the portion THE PAST or THE FUTURE in the unfinished book).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Astiz into Powell since Astiz discloses using indicators or icons as a reference work to look up material contained in the portion of text referred to by the outline element providing the advantage of applying such feature to the table of content elements of Powell for faster looking up a desired portion in a length document.

12. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bennett et al. (US Pat No. 5,815,392, 9/29/98, filed 8/22/95).

Regarding claim 17, which is dependent on claim 1, Powell does not disclose identifying annotations to the portion of text referred to by the outline element.

Bennett discloses identifying annotations to the portion of text referred to by the outline element (figures 5a-c, e-f, col 18, lines 22-37: annotating data items within the hierarchical structure of the tailored outline).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Rosen into Powell since Bennett discloses annotations to the portion of text providing in the hierarchical structure of the outline providing the advantage to include identifying said annotations to the portion of text referred to by the

elements of the table of content of Powell, which is a form of outline, for further adding some notes explaining the outline elements or commenting the outline elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 14 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 15-17, 19-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that Bates and Carter are not qualified as prior art since the instant application, though filed 7/28/00 and is a continuation of the application 09/015,660 filed 1/29/98, has also the priority to provisional application 60/036,305 filed 1/29/97, which is before the effective filing dates of Bates and Carter references.

Examiner agrees.

Bates and Carter have been withdrawn from the rejections.

Powell and Kierman still disclose the claimed invention in combination with Astiz and Bennett as in the rejections above.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,727 Page 13

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jaremko et al. (US Pat No. 6,133,925, 10/17/00, filed 1/8/97).

Mayhew (US Pat No. 5,933,606, 8/3/99, filed 2/19/97).

Kuppusamy et al. (US Pat No. 6,769,096, 7/27/04, filed 6/24/98).

Paine et al. (US Pat No. 6,332,148 B1, 12/18/01, filed 5/30/97).

Rosen (US Pat No. 6,198,904 B1, 3/6/01, filed 2/24/98).

Jaremko et al. (US Pat No. 6,133,925, 10/17/00, filed 1/8/97).

Reichek et al. (US Pat No. 5,960,448, 9/28/99, filed 12/15/95).

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,727

Art Unit: 2178

Page 14

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cong-Lac Huynh

Conglacluynh

Examiner Art Unit 2178

9/2/04